## BEST COPY Available

## Approved For Release 2001/09/06 CIR-RIP-82M00311R000100220001-7



DCI/IC 73-0531

1 7 JUL 1958

The Honorable George H. Makon Chairman Committee on Appropriations House of Representatives Washington, D. C. 20515

My dear Mr. Chairman:

I have reviewed carefully the report prepared by your staff, on "Management and Conduct of Military Intelligence Activities in the Department of Defense." a copy of which you gave mo. I have also read the comments on the report made by DIA and by Ceneral Carroll before your Committee.

The staff most certainly and an exhaustive survey of a very complex subject. It points up a number of problems in the conduct of intelligence activities on ladonese, all of which are, I believe, now under active review by the lab, however, that some of the criticisms made in the report what have come out a little differently if the staff had moved the weight to some of the hard management choices that are inhought in the criticisms process.

One of the principal areas of a state that is do with the entire review process under the Consolidate the Millionce Program (CIP). There is merit in this criticism, as the system is not perfect. On the other hand, DoD--and others--are well aware of its faults and are trying to improve it. As a matter of fact, this year's review, which has just begun under DIA's direction, rollects a number of procedural changes which already represent substantial improvement.

The entire problem of review of intelligence resources and programs in DeD is entremely complicated. It is a matter of intense interest to an and I am continuing to consult with the Secretary and Deputy here early of Defense about ways and a laus to ensure more effective condition of these programs. Contain organizational actions leads about in this field ave been taken and

others are contemplated. As one example, I have recently established a three-man National Intelligence Resources Board which will advise me on intelligence aspects of programs involving major resource allocation. This Board is chaired by my Deputy and includes the Director. DIA and the Director of the Bureau of Intelligence and Research, Department of State. The advice of this Board on requirements for resources will in turn permit me to assist the Secretary and Deputy Secretary of Defense in their determinations about the most desirable and economical methods of technical collection.

In conclusion, may I say that the DIA is a relatively new organization. It has had its growing pains and its problems, but it has made a real place for itself in the intelligence community and I have basic confidence in its over-all management.

Sincerely,

Zs/ Richard Helms

Richard Helms

cc: Deputy Secretary of Defense
Director, Defense Intelligence Agency

25X1A

NIPE: dm (8 July 1968)

Retyped per DCI changes (16 July 1968)

Distribution:

Original and 1 - Addressee

1 - Deputy Sec'y of Defense) Distribution not noted on

i - D/DIA

) Addressee copies.

i - DCI

1 - DDCI

1 - LC

1 - ER

1)- NIPE subject

1 - NIPE/TAP chrono